

# NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

J Neurosci. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2009 October 22.

## Published in final edited form as:

J Neurosci. 2008 December 24; 28(52): 14223-14229. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4271-08.2008.

## Prolonged Perceptual Learning of Positional Acuity in Adult Amblyopia:

Perceptual Template Retuning Dynamics

Roger W Li<sup>1,2</sup>, Stanley A Klein<sup>1</sup>, and Dennis M Levi<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Optometry and the Helen Wills Neuroscience Institute, University of California-Berkeley, Berkeley, California 94720-2020, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Psychology, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam, Hong Kong

## Abstract

Amblyopia is a developmental abnormality that results in physiological alterations in the visual cortex and impairs form vision. It is often successfully treated by patching the sound eye in infants and young children, but is generally considered to be untreatable in adults. However, a number of recent studies suggest that repetitive practice of a visual task using the amblyopic eye results in improved performance in both children and adults with amblyopia. These perceptual learning studies have used relatively brief periods of practice; however, clinical studies have shown that the time-constant for successful patching is long. The time-constant for perceptual learning in amblyopia is still unknown. Here we show that the time-constant for perceptual learning depends on the degree of amblyopia. Severe amblyopia requires more than 50 hours ( $\approx$ 35,000 trials) to reach plateau, yielding as much as a five-fold improvement in performance at a rate of  $\approx$ 1.5% per hour. There is significant transfer of learning from the amblyopic to the dominant eye, suggesting that the learning reflects alterations in higher decision stages of processing. Using a reverse correlation technique, we document, for the first time, a dynamic retuning of the amblyopic perceptual decision template and a substantial reduction in internal spatial distortion. These results show that the mature amblyopic brain is surprisingly malleable, and point to more intensive treatment methods for amblyopia.

## Keywords

plasticity; critical period; visual learning; positional acuity; classification image; amblyopia

## Introduction

Amblyopia (from the Greek, amblyos: blunt; opia: vision) is a developmental disorder that results in physiological alterations in the visual cortex and impairs form vision (Levi et al., 1991), and is usually associated with abnormal visual experience caused by strabismus, anisometropia and visual deprivation early in life. Aside from refractive error, amblyopia is one of the main causes for the loss of vision in children (Newman and East, 2000). Clinically, it is often successfully treated by patching the sound eye in infants and young children (occlusion therapy), but is generally considered to be untreatable in adults and older children who fall outside the period of cortical plasticity. A long period of occlusion, ranging from several months to a year, is needed for the recovery of amblyopic vision, with acuity improving

Correspondence should be addressed to Roger Li, School of Optometry, University of California-Berkeley, Berkeley, California 94720-2020, USA. E-mail: oroger@berkeley.edu, Tel: (510) 643-8685; Fax: (510) 643-8733.

about 0.22% per hour of occlusion (Stewart et al., 2004), and the dose-response appears to plateau only after 100-400 hours (Cleary, 2000; Stewart et al., 2004; Stewart et al., 2005).

Although treatment of amblyopia is generally not applied to adults, it is now clear that adults with amblyopia can improve following perceptual learning, i.e., repetitive practice of a demanding visual task (Levi and Li, In Press). Over a decade ago, Levi and Polat first showed that practice enhanced Vernier acuity in adults with amblyopia and that this improvement transferred to improvement in visual acuity in some of their observers (Levi and Polat, 1996; Levi et al., 1997). It is now clear that perceptual learning improves amblyopic performance on a wide range of tasks, including position discrimination (Li and Levi, 2004), spatial interaction (Polat et al., 2004), contrast detection (Zhou et al., 2006), and letter recognition (Levi, 2005; Chung et al., 2006; Chung et al., In Press).

Most previous learning studies used relatively brief periods of practice (Li and Levi, 2004; Li et al., 2005) as it is generally believed that the adult brain has limited plasticity and thus perceptual learning in normal foveal vision is rapidly completed after a few hours of practice. For example, Levi & Polat (Levi and Polat, 1996; Levi et al., 1997) had observers complete only 4000-5000 practice of trials ( $\approx$ 5-10 hours). Li & Levi asked seven amblyopic patients to practice a positional discrimination task and they seemed to have reached the plateau level and showed a "modest" improvement (20-30 %) in a brief period ( $\approx$ 20 hours) of training (Li and Levi, 2004; Li et al., 2005). Other studies used a criterion of asymptotic performance on 3 consecutive sessions, giving a mean length of training of  $\approx$ 12 sessions, or 12 hours (Zhou et al., 2006; Huang et al., 2008). The most extensive training was provided by Polat et al. Their subjects practiced a contrast detection task for, on average 45 sessions,  $\approx$ 22 hours (Polat et al., 2004). Interestingly, close inspection of their data, as well as that of the other studies, suggests that observers may still have not reached their ultimate asymptotic performance. This raises the question of whether "prolonged" perceptual learning would result in more substantial improvement in adults with amblyopia.

A recent study revealed that in two juvenile amblyopes, prolonged perceptual learning yielded an approximately 2.4-fold improvement in performance over 50 hours of training (Li et al., 2007). One might argue that the developing brain is more malleable, thus a longer period of training can produce more substantial improvements. But it raises the important question of whether or not similar prolonged perceptual learning would result in more substantial gains in adult amblyopes. We know that practicing position discrimination can reduce spatial distortion (internal positional noise) and enhance the ability to extract stimulus information (sampling efficiency) during the course of training (Li and Levi, 2004; Li et al., 2005; Li et al., 2007). However, there remains a longstanding fundamental question of how the amblyopic brain retunes its abnormal neuronal connections so as to optimize and sharpen its responses to the visual world.

To address these questions, we adopted a much stricter stopping rule than in previous studies to decide whether training should continue, and our experiment was designed to explore the amount of experience-dependent plasticity in the mature amblyopic brain. Position discrimination depends strongly on spatial relations, and is thought to be limited by positional uncertainty in amblyopes. Thus, in this study we used "positional" noise, i.e. perturbation of the positions of parts of the stimulus, to mimic the putative limiting internal noise by rendering the positions of the samples uncertain (rather than by obscuring their visibility, as occurs with luminance noise) and to explore the underlying neural mechanisms for position discrimination. Our results reveal surprisingly substantial cortical plasticity in adult amblyopia following a prolonged period of repetitive visual stimulation. Using a reverse correlation technique, we were able to document, for the first time, the session-to-session retuning dynamics of the perceptual decision template or perceptive fields of the amblyopic brain during visual learning.

An understanding of the limits, time course, and mechanisms of perceptual learning is critical for developing a more effective amblyopia treatment.

## **Materials and Methods**

#### Experimental procedures

Seven adults aged 18-40 years with anisometropic and/or strabismic amblyopia participated in the study (Table 1). They practiced the positional discrimination task repeatedly using their amblyopic eye; the other eye was occluded with a standard black eye patch. Each day's session consisted of 960 trials in about 1.5 hours, 3-5 sessions a week.

To evaluate the possible "maximum" limits of cortical plasticity, we adopted a much stricter criterion for ending the training course than those in our previous study (Li and Levi, 2004) - our observers were required to continue practicing the visual task until their improved performance were stable and maintained for as much as 15-20 sessions after the plateau levels were reached. Consequently, three of our observers had given more than 50 thousands responses over 50 training sessions. All observers were naive to the purpose of experiment.

#### Visual stimulus

The stimulus was comprised of two horizontal segments with a  $1.25\lambda$  gap between the centers of the innermost patches (Fig. 1a). Each segment consisted of five Gabor patches, and the interpatch separation was  $0.83\lambda$ . The patches were constructed to have a 2/3 aspect ratio: the Gaussian envelope standard deviation was 0.39 and  $0.58\lambda$  for the horizontal and vertical orientations respectively. Positional noise was produced by shifting the position of each Gabor patch in the vertical direction around the intended mean line position of the test (right) segment according to a discrete binary probability function. The binary noise amplitude was always  $0.11\lambda$ , either positive (downward) or negative (upward). No noise was added to the reference (left) segment. The carrier spatial frequency, and stimulus size, was scaled (5 [VC & AW], 6.6 [SF] or 10 [SC, JS, ED, AA & normal observers] cpd) according to observer's baseline visual acuity of the amblyopic eye by varying viewing distance (4, 2 or 1m), without changing any physical dimensions of the stimulus displayed on the monitor screen.

The stimulus was briefly presented (200 ms) on a flat 21-inch Sony F520 monitor screen at 90 Hz refresh rate. Subjects were asked to maintain fixation at the center of the monitor screen. The mean luminance of the stimuli was 55 cd/m<sup>2</sup>, and the contrast of each Gabor patch was 84%.

#### **Threshold calculation**

On each trial, the test line was presented randomly in one of three positions: aligned with the reference line, or one step above (-) or below (+) it. The observers' task was to rate the position of the test segment compared to the reference segment by giving an integer number from -2 (above) to 2 (below), including 0 (aligned). Trial-by-trial verbal feedback was provided following each trial. Observers were instructed to attend to all five Gabors in each segment so as to determine the average segment positions and detect the direction of misalignment. A rating-scale signal detection paradigm was used to calculate d' (detectability or effect size) for discriminating the direction of offset (Levi et al., 2000). The position offset at which d'=1 was taken as threshold. At the start of each training session, the offset between the two segments was adjusted according to the previous sessions' thresholds so as to keep the detectability around 1, aiming to make the task challenging, not too easy or too difficult.

#### The decision template and internal noise

The details of decision template (also known as classification image) and internal noise calculations are fully described elsewhere (Li et al., 2006). This template provides the decision rules of how the brain extracts and integrates stimulus information for positional judgments. In brief, a multiple linear regression was used to reverse correlate the individual positions of the 5 Gabors (Fig. 1a) with the human responses (-2 to 2) in the computation of perceptive fields, with the sum of the five normalized weightings equal to unity. For each of three offset levels (-, 0 and +), there are  $2^5 = 32$  possible external noise combinations in total.

Each stimulus arrangement was presented 10 times to observers, therefore each session consisted of 960 trials (32 noise combinations  $\times$  3 offset levels  $\times$  10 passes) in a random sequence. The 10-pass response consistency for each noise combination provides a measure of internal noise. Importantly, the use of binary noise allows us to monitor fewer moving parts, thus requiring much fewer trials when combined with multiple regression to compute perceptive fields.

#### Transfer experiment

At the commencement of the training experiment, we also tested the baseline performance of the fellow non-amblyopic eye at the same viewing distance as selected for testing the amblyopic eye. After Phase 1 training on the amblyopic eye, we switched to train the non-amblyopic eye in Phase 2 training to evaluate if there was any interocular transfer (complete or incomplete) from the trained amblyopic eye to the untrained fellow eye.

#### **Clinical vision tests**

In this study, a Bailey-Lovie logMAR letter chart (National Vision Research Institute of Australia, 1978) was used to measure visual acuity, and Randot® Stereotest (Stereo Optical Co., Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used to measure stereoacuity for the range of 20-400 arcsec.

### Results

#### Prolonged perceptual learning in adult amblyopia

Most previous studies (Levi and Polat, 1996; Li and Levi, 2004; Dosher and Lu, 2007) have used either a fixed duration of practice (typically 10 - 20 hours or 4000-8000 trials) or a stopping criterion of asymptotic performance on three consecutive sessions (Zhou et al., 2006). However, our results suggest the need for a much stricter stopping rule. Extended perceptual learning results in a more substantial, though not complete recovery in positional threshold (Fig. 1b). Practice improves positional acuity (the minimum offset between the two segments to be detected decreases across training sessions) gradually until plateau performance is obtained. A three parameter 2-line segment curve was used to fit the logarithmic threshold data as a function of training session; the intersection point of the two lines indicates the plateau performance for each observer. Note that the threshold data are plotted in Gabor wavelength ( $\lambda$ ) units in order to facilitate the comparison between the different viewing distances used to test individual observers. Within this extended period of improvement there may be short plateaus in performance (inset in Fig. 1b), followed by further improvement.

Interestingly, observers with mild amblyopia improve at a faster rate than those with severe amblyopia, as reflected by a steeper regression slopes in Fig. 1b (mean improvement rate: mild [JS & AA] 11.4 $\pm$ 1.9%/hour; severe [SF, VC, SC & AW] 4.0 $\pm$ 0.7%/hour). More practice is needed to reach asymptote in severe amblyopia than in mild amblyopia (Fig. 1c), and the amount of improvement is directly proportional to the severity of amblyopia on a log-log scale (Fig. 1d). The pre/post acuity ratio was larger than a factor of 4 in a severe amblyope (SF). In general, deep amblyopes (SF, SC & AW [VA: 20/50-20/125]) required  $\approx$ 50 hours ( $\approx$ 35,000

trials) to reach plateau, and the acuity ratio was as much as 1.75 to 4.23-fold. In contrast, mild amblyopes (ED, JS & AS [VA:20/25-20/40]) required fewer practice trials (7.5-30 hours) to obtain stable improvement (1.35 to 1.75-fold). The exponential time-constants were 18.75 and 5.55 hours for deep and mild amblyopes respectively and just 2.7 hours for normal control observers. The black symbols in Figs. 1c and 1d show data of two children (ages 8 and 11) with amblyopia who underwent intensive perceptual learning. While the stimulus configurations and psychophysical methods were different, these data provide a close match with the adult data, suggesting that age (at least from 8 to 39) is not an important determinant of the outcome of perceptual learning.

The post-training threshold in the amblyopic eye (solid symbols) approaches, and even crosses the 1:1 line representing equality of threshold in the amblyopic and non-amblyopic eyes (Fig. 1e). Note that one observer (VC, dashed line) did not complete the training according to our stopping criterion; thus it is possible that additional practice could result in further improvement. Interestingly in three mild amblyopes (AA, JS & ED), plateau performance of the trained amblyopic eye was even better than the baseline performance of the untrained "normal" eye. In other words, the amblyopic deficits for this type of positional acuity are more or less eliminated.

An important question is whether the improved performance is maintained after cessation of training. We re-tested four observers on the same task after a period of 1-2 months and found that all or most of the improved performance was retained (the rightmost symbols of Fig. 1b). Although there was a small elevation in threshold  $(6.5\%\pm1.8\%$  when compared with the baseline measurement), only a few ( $\approx$ 5) maintenance sessions were enough to achieve the original plateau levels. Note that following direct training on the amblyopic eye, two of those four observers (SC & JS) elected to proceed in training the non-amblyopic eye for a month (inter-ocular transfer experiment) immediately before re-testing the amblyopic eye. One might speculate that the learning effects, if any, in the non-amblyopic eye could possibly transfer, to a certain extent, back to the amblyopic eye. Therefore, we re-tested the maintenance performance in another two observers (SF & ED) who did *not* participate in the transfer experiment 1-2 months after the last session of visual testing and confirmed that the improved performance was last-lasting and substantially maintained.

#### The roles of higher- and lower- level processing in learning

One way to reveal where neural alternations occur is to evaluate interocular transfer of learning. An absence of transfer from the trained eye to the untrained eye is often taken as evidence for neural alternations occurring at a stage of visual processing before binocular convergence. Alternatively, a complete transfer would be expected if higher cortical areas are involved. Our findings (Fig. 1f) show a significant transfer of learning to the sound eye in 3 of the four observers (mean pre/post acuity ratio= $1.27\pm0.11$ , t=2.458, p=0.046). Interestingly, with further practice the non-amblyopic eye showed additional improvement, mean pre/post acuity ratio= $1.68\pm0.16$  (mean difference in acuity ratio= $0.41\pm0.08$ , *paired* t=4.995, p=0.015). This suggests that training the amblyopic eye modified the response characteristics of higher visual cortex which in turn results in the interocular transfer, and the subsequent training of the fellow eye itself may have further strengthened early neural mechanisms. Recent physiological studies also reported the involvement of higher decision stage in visual learning (Law and Gold, 2008). These effects may also explain the accompanying generalized transfer to improved visual (letter) acuity (Fig. 1g), the sine qua non of amblyopia, consistent with the recent finding that perceptual learning has a broad bandwidth in amblyopia (Huang et al., 2008).

#### Retuning dynamics of behavioral receptive fields

Using an efficient trial-by-trial reverse correlation method (Li et al., 2004,2006), we are able to monitor changes, if any, in the decision template or "behavioral" receptive field (Gold et al., 1999) for positional judgments during visual learning. The decision template reveals how observers weight and integrate the positional information of the 5 patches comprising the test segment of the visual stimulus (Fig. 1a). An "ideal" observer who "knows" the stimulus exactly would give equal weight (0.2) to each patch for positional averaging (Fig. 2a inset). Not surprisingly, human observers adopt a less efficient template for extracting positional information; the averaging computation relies on a limited number of stimulus samples.

Importantly, initially the amblyopic eye shows distinct differences in positional integration from the fellow sound eye (Fig. 2b). (1) The peak of tuning is shifted to the right (away from the inner segment) in observers SC (patch 4), SF (patch 5) and VC (patch 5). In fact, SF's and VC's responses are mostly based on the position of the outermost patch. This may reflect abnormal suppression, or crowding (Nandy and Tjan, 2007; Levi, 2008) effects of the reference (left) segment on the proximal samples of the test (right) segment. In contrast, their nonamblyopic eyes employed a more efficient ideal-observer like template. (2) With our abutting stimulus, one might expect that amblyopic observers would down-weight the first (inner) patch because of crowding effects. In contrast, we observed a very strong response, but in the wrong direction-repulsion error (patch 1: SC [-0.37], SF [-1.11] & VC [-0.83]; ideal observer [0.2]), representing a misperception of position (or spatial distortion): when the patch is up, the perceived position is down, and vice versa. It is widely known that spatial interaction affects contrast (Polat et al., 2005) and contour (Hess et al., 2001) perception, and here is another example of abnormal interaction for positional perception. (3) In an extreme case, severe amblyopes SF and VC adopted an ideal-observer like template (note that the gray open [VC, 80.6% efficiency as illustrated in Fig. 2a] and solid [SF, 67.4% efficiency] symbols fall close to the black horizontal line for ideal perceptual fields) in the fellow sound eyes, but the least efficient template of only about 6% efficiency (a very tilted oblique template profile placing unequal weights for different parts of stimulus with an abnormal very large repulsion error at one end) in the amblyopic eyes. (4) On the other hand, two other amblyopes with mild loss in visual acuity (JS & AA) showed nearly normal templates (efficiency: 70-80%) which are very comparable to the two normal observers (efficiency: 75%).

Most importantly, we recorded a dramatic optimization of the decision template which, in part, explains the enhanced performance following practice, making the post-training template (Fig. 2b) more similar in shape to both the non-amblyopic eye and the ideal template. On average, the template efficiency (squared correlation between human and ideal templates) improved substantially by 126.3% for those four deep amblyopes (Fig. 2a). The retuned template is capable of more effective sampling with much reduced repulsion (e.g. SF:  $-1.12 \rightarrow -0.42$  [patch 1]), reflecting how the brain recalibrates the weightings of neuronal connections with response feedback to use the spatial information from lower-level visual mechanisms more effectively and appropriately. In contrast, three mild amblyopes did not show any enhancement in template efficiency because they were already highly efficient (mean: 72.5%, quite similar to normal controls 74.9%), leaving little room for improvement.

Visual learning also results in a substantial reduction in internal positional noise (random jitter). We assayed internal noise by repeating each combination of signal and noise ten times in each session. Since the signals and noise are identical, any inconsistency in responses provides an accurate assay of internal noise (Green, 1964). All observers showed a reduction of internal noise (mean: 40.2%) in the post-training session (expressed in  $\lambda$  unit in Fig. 2c to facilitate the comparison between different viewing distances used for individual observers), ranging from 18.4% (AA) to 55.2% (SF). The more severe the amblyopia, the larger the reduction (farther away from the 1:1 reference line).

We can model the observers' performance, human threshold  $\sigma_{th}$  (black line in Fig. 3b), by

summing the various sources of noise  $(\sigma_{th}^2 = \sigma_{temp}^2 + \sigma_{random}^2 + \sigma_{systematic}^2)$ : template noise  $(\sigma_{temp})$ due to a non-ideal decision template and random ( $\sigma_{random}$ ) and systematic ( $\sigma_{systematic}$ ) internal noise beyond the template (Li et al., 2006). The data are replotted in Fig. 3a to summarize the changes in template efficiency and random internal noise. Note that random internal noise is specified as pre/post ratio instead of post/pre ratio for template efficiency so that a ratio of greater than 1 represents increased template efficiency or decreased internal noise. Fig. 3b, which shows the "squared" threshold of each model component, reveals the dynamics of template retuning and internal noise reduction across sessions for two observers. The threshold of the ideal template,  $\sigma_{ideal}$ , is 0.298 arcmin (gray area: 0.148 $\lambda$  at 4m viewing distance) reflecting averaging error because of stimulus positional uncertainty; the human template efficiency is defined as  $Eff_{Temp} = (\sigma_{ideal}/\sigma_{temp})^2 \times 100\%$ . It is especially interesting to see the very gradual template retuning in observer SC (yellow area: template threshold gradually decreased until 40-45 hours of practice; template efficiency improved substantially from 30% to 70%), resulting in a very efficient template, only slightly less efficient than the ideal template after 35-thousand trials. In contrast, the improvement in observer ED can be mainly attributed to the reduced internal random noise (pre/post ratio: 1.58), the yellow area remains flat (pre/ post ratio: 0.97) across the training course. The right end of each panel shows the maintenance data; it is clear that all threshold components remain much the same.

## Discussion

Following a prolonged period of perceptual learning positional acuity in adult amblyopia can be substantially normalized, with as much as a five-fold improvement in performance in observers with deep amblyopia. A much longer time than expected is necessary to reach a real plateau level (more than 50 hours). In normal adults, in agreement with our earlier study (Li et al., 2004), visual learning is much faster, mostly completed in just a few sessions totaling about 5 to 10 hours (gray symbols in Fig. 1b). Our findings clearly show that the mature amblyopic brain, which is generally thought to have limited capacity for neural alternations, shows substantial plasticity with prolonged perceptual learning. To our knowledge, such prolonged learning resulting in such substantial gains in visual performance, have not been previously reported in adults with normal or amblyopic vision. Importantly, the learning effects are long-lasting (Fig. 1b), and only a few re-training sessions are needed to compensate for a slight loss of previously gained improvement.

One might argue that the improvement in performance might be in part attributed to high level cognitive task learning or instrument learning (Westheimer, 2001). For example, amblyopes might learn to fixate and/or accommodate more accurately with their amblyopic eye. We argue that improvements in fixation and/or focusing accuracy are unlikely to account for our present findings for several reasons: (1) Our task (long and very visible horizontal pair of Gabor patch groupings with low/moderate carrier spatial frequency) is not strongly dependent on precise fixation or focus. (2) The very gradual, but substantial, improvement in thresholds across an extended period of training sessions indicates that the changes in sensitivity are genuine. (3) If the improvement in performance were due to improved fixation/focus, we would expect the improvement to transfer across orientations. However our previous studies using a similar positional task found no transfer across orthogonal orientations (Li and Levi, 2004).

A stringent stopping rule is important for maximizing the treatment effects. As an example, when only the first 10-sessions of SF's data are fitted with an exponential function (Fig. 1b inset), it appears that the plateau performance (improvement: 51%) would have been obtained at around 7 to 8 hours. But further practice resulted in an additional 52% improvement over 40 more hours. In contrast to cognitive task learning (Westheimer, 2001) that is rapid, this

gradual improvement reflects genuine experience-dependent neural alternations. Similar prolonged learning effects have also been recently reported in animals with degraded auditory systems (Zhou and Merzenich, 2007).

An earlier study failed to report any correlation between the depth of amblyopia and the amount of improvement (Levi et al., 1997), most likely due to incomplete learning after just  $\approx 10$  practice sessions. Those previous studies (Levi and Polat, 1996; Levi et al., 1997; Li and Levi, 2004) might have largely under-estimated the limits of neural plasticity in the mature amblyopic brain.

Importantly, we quantified the changes in the observers' perceptual decision templates for positional acuity as their position acuity improved. This perceptual decision template represents a spatial map that reveals how the lower-end spatial filters are calibrated, weighted and integrated for position localization. In some sense, the abnormal template prior to learning reflects the nature of spatial distortion and visual crowding in amblyopic vision. Here we provide evidence that the perceptual decision template of the amblyopic visual system can be re-tuned through repetitive practice. Thus, neuronal connections in the amblyopic brain are not completely hard-wired, but are retunable. The retuned template is less distorted and more effective in interpreting positional information. Unlike the traditional averaging methods for calculating perceptive fields that require several thousands of responses, our efficient reverse correlation technique (Li et al., 2006) allows us to obtain a reliable template from only one thousand trials and to document the session-by-session retuning dynamics of the perceptual decision template during perceptual learning.

Neuronal connections of the visual system are generally thought to be not malleable into adulthood, and the question of reorganization in adult cortex following a retinal scotoma remains controversial (Smirnakis et al., 2005). However, a recent calcium imaging study has shown that rewiring can indeed occur in adult cortex following stroke (Winship and Murphy, 2008), supporting the notion that somatosensory cortical neurons still retain a remarkable degree of adaptive plasticity. Our results show that the improvement following practice with the amblyopic eye transferred to the sound eye. This result is consistent with some learning taking place at a higher "decision stage" of visual processing. It is worth noting that binocular transfer may occur as early as V1 layers 2-3 (Zhang et al., 2005); however a "more central" explanation is that at a high level decision stage, the visual system may learn to rely on (or attend to) a subset of neurons, that provide more salient signals, without necessitating physiological modifications at an earlier stage (Mollon and Danilova, 1996). This may also explain the fact that there is substantial further learning in the non-amblyopic eye. In the case of amblyopia, the cortical image is degraded and distorted in the amblyopic eye (Sireteanu et al., 2008). Although the stimulus configurations are the same, the visual brain may need to relearn a different, much sharper and more finely calibrated cortical image in the sound eye so as to extract the most salient visual features or signals for decision making that could, in part, explain the "secondary" learning of the same visual task.

Normal peripheral vision has been shown to be similar to amblyopic foveal vision in several respects and is, therefore, often used as a model to understand amblyopia (Levi et al., 1984; Levi et al., 1987; Wilson, 1991). In normal periphery, a wide range of visual functions are degraded relative to the fovea. We postulate that similar prolonged learning might also exist in normal periphery. Some evidence for prolonged learning of orientation discrimination in peripheral vision can be seen in the data of Furmanski and Engel (Furmanski and Engel, 2000). This is particularly important for those who have reduced central vision and must rely on the remaining para-foveal vision.

In summary, our results show that the adult amblyopic brain retains a surprising degree of neural plasticity that is revealed by prolonged perceptual learning, and provide for the first time, documentation of the session-by-session dynamics of template retuning and noise reduction in amblyopic vision. We believe this prolonged learning does not occur in normal foveal vision in which visual learning is quickly completed in only a few hours, and that it has important potential clinical applications in visual rehabilitation of children and adults with amblyopia. In particular, our results point to the need for customizing the length or intensity of treatment based on the severity of amblyopia. We understand that there are individual variations in treatment efficacy and hence a large-scale multi-center clinical trial is necessary to quantify the dose-response relationship for different ages of onset, types and depths of amblyopia and optimize the treatment dose and schedule. Our present findings, together with

#### Acknowledgements

This research was supported by National Eye Institute grants R01EY01728 (DML) and R01EY04776 (SAK) from the National Eye Institute and a James S. McDonnell Foundation grant (DML)

other recent clinical studies, suggest that it may be the time to re-consider our notions about

the critical period of neural plasticity in amblyopia.

#### References

- Chung STL, Li RW, Levi DM. Identification of contrast-defined letters in adults with amblyopia benefits from perceptual learning. Vision Res 2006;46:3853–3861. [PubMed: 16930666]
- Chung STL, Li RW, Levi DM. Learning to Identify Near-Threshold Luminance-Defined and Contrast-Defined Letters in Observers with Amblyopia. Vision Res Dec;2008 48(27):2739–50. [PubMed: 18824189]
- Cleary M. Efficacy of occlusion for strabismic amblyopia: can an optimal duration be identified? Br J Ophthalmol 2000;84:572–578. [PubMed: 10837378]
- Dosher BA, Lu ZL. The Functional Form of Performance Improvements in Perceptual Learning: Learning Rates and Transfer. Psychol Sci 2007;18:531–539. [PubMed: 17576267]
- Furmanski CS, Engel SA. Perceptual learning in object recognition: object specificity and size invariance. Vision Res 2000;40:473–484. [PubMed: 10820606]
- Gold J, Bennett PJ, Sekuler AB. Signal but not noise changes with perceptual learning. Nature 1999;402
- Green DM. Consistency of auditory detection judgments. Psychol Rev 1964;71:392–407. [PubMed: 14208857]
- Hess RF, Dakin SC, Tewfik M, Brown B. Contour interaction in amblyopia: scale selection. Vision Res 2001;41:2285–2296. [PubMed: 11448720]
- Huang CB, Zhou Y, Lu ZL. Broad bandwidth of perceptual learning in the visual system of adults with anisometropic amblyopia. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2008;105:4068–4073. [PubMed: 18316716]
- Law CT, Gold JI. Neural correlates of perceptual learning in a sensorymotor, but not a sensory, cortical area. Nat Neurosci 2008;11:505–513. [PubMed: 18327253]
- Levi DM. Perceptual learning in adults with amblyopia: A reevaluation of critical periods in human vision. Dev Psychobiol 2005;46:222–232. [PubMed: 15772964]
- Levi DM. Crowding-An essential bottleneck for object recognition: A mini-review. Vision Res 2008;48:635–654. [PubMed: 18226828]
- Levi DM, Polat U. Neural plasticity in adults with amblyopia. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1996;93:6830–6834. [PubMed: 8692904]
- Levi DM, Li RW. Improving the performance of the amblyopic visual system. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 2009;364:399–407. [PubMed: 19008199]
- Levi DM, Klein SA, Aitsebaomo P. Detection and discrimination of the direction of motion in central and peripheral vision of normal and amblyopic observers. Vision Res 1984;24:789–800. [PubMed: 6474836]

- Levi DM, Klein SA, Yap YL. Positional uncertainty in peripheral and amblyopic vision. Vision Res 1987;27:581–597. [PubMed: 3660620]
- Levi, DM.; Ciuffreda, KJ.; Selenow, A. Amblyopia: Basic and clinical aspects. Butterworth-Heinemann; Boston: 1991.
- Levi DM, Polat U, Hu YS. Improvement in vernier acuity in adults with amblyopia. Practice makes better. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 1997;38:1493–1510. [PubMed: 9224277]
- Levi DM, Klein SA, Carney T. Unmasking the mechanisms for Vernier acuity: evidence for a template model for Vernier acuity. Vision Res 2000;40:951–972. [PubMed: 10720666]
- Li RW, Levi DM. Characterizing the mechanisms of improvement for position discrimination in adult amblyopia. J Vis 2004;6:476–487. [PubMed: 15330715]
- Li RW, Levi DM, Klein SA. Perceptual learning improves efficiency by re-tuning the "template" for position discrimination. Nat Neurosci 2004;7:178–183. [PubMed: 14730311]
- Li RW, Levi DM, Klein SA. The receptive field and internal noise for position acuity change with feature separation. J Vis 2006;6:311–321. [PubMed: 16889471]
- Li RW, Provost A, Levi DM. Extended perceptual learning results in substantial recovery of both positional acuity and visual acuity in juvenile amblyopia. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2007;48:5046– 5051. [PubMed: 17962456]
- Li RW, Young KG, Hoenig P, Levi DM. Perceptual learning improves visual perception in juvenile amblyopia. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2005;46:3161–3168. [PubMed: 16123415]
- Mollon JD, Danilova MV. Three remarks on perceptual learning. Spat Vis 1996;10:51–58. [PubMed: 8817771]
- Nandy AS, Tjan BS. The nature of letter crowding as revealed by first- and second-order classification images. J Vis 2007;7:1–26. [PubMed: 18217820]
- Newman DK, East MM. Prevalence of amblyopia among defaulters of preschool vision screening. Ophthalmic Epidemiol 2000;7:67–71. [PubMed: 10652173]
- Polat U, Ma-Naim T, Belkin M, Sagi D. Improving vision in adult amblyopia by perceptual learning. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2004;101:6692–6697. [PubMed: 15096608]
- Polat U, Bonneh Y, Ma-Naim T, Belkin M, Sagi D. Spatial interactions in amblyopia: effects of stimulus parameters and amblyopia type. Vision Res 2005;45:1471–1479. [PubMed: 15743616]
- Sireteanu R, Baumer CC, Iftime A. Temporal instability in amblyopic vision: relationship to a displacement map of visual space. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2008;49:3940–3954. [PubMed: 18765634]
- Smirnakis SM, Brewer AA, Schmid MC, Tolias AS, Schuz A, Augath M, Inhoffen W, Wandell BA, Logothetis NK. Lack of long-term cortical reorganization after macaque retinal lesions. Nature 2005;435:300–307. [PubMed: 15902248]
- Stewart CE, Moseley MJ, Stephens DA, Fielder AR. Treatment dose-response in amblyopia therapy: the Monitored Occlusion Treatment of Amblyopia Study (MOTAS). Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2004;45:3048–3054. [PubMed: 15326120]
- Stewart CE, Fielder AR, Stephens DA, Moseley MJ. Treatment of unilateral amblyopia: factors influencing visual outcome. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2005;46:3152–3160. [PubMed: 16123414]
- Westheimer G. Is peripheral visual acuity susceptible to perceptual learning in the adult? Vision Res 2001;41:47–52. [PubMed: 11163615]
- Wilson HR. Model of peripheral and amblyopic hyperacuity. Vision Res 1991;31:967–982. [PubMed: 1858327]
- Winship IR, Murphy TH. In vivo calcium imaging reveals functional rewiring of single somatosensory neurons after stroke. J Neurosci 2008;28:6592–6606. [PubMed: 18579732]
- Zhang B, Bi H, Sakai E, Maruko I, Zheng J, Smith EL 3rd, Chino YM. Rapid plasticity of binocular connections in developing monkey visual cortex (V1). Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2005;102:9026– 9031. [PubMed: 15956191]
- Zhou XM, Merzenich MM. Intensive training in adults refines A1 representations degraded in an early postnatal critical period. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2007;104:15935–15940. [PubMed: 17895375]

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Zhou Y, Huang C, Xu P, Tao L, Qiu Z, Li X, Lu ZL. Perceptual learning improves contrast sensitivity and visual acuity in adults with anisometropic amblyopia. Vision Res 2006;46:739–750. [PubMed: 16153674]

Li et al.

Page 12



#### Figure 1.

"Prolonged" perceptual learning of position discrimination in adult amblyopia. a, Visual stimulus. The observers' task was to identify the location of the right test segment relative to the left reference segment. Positional noise was added to the right segment by jittering the vertical position of each of the five Gabor patches (either up or down). *b*, Learning profile. Each data point represents one session consisting of 960 trials in approximately 1.5 hours. It is worth noting that it took as long as  $\approx$ 35 sessions ( $\approx$ 35 thousand trials) to improve and reach a stable performance plateau (prolonged learning). Observer VC withdrew from the study after 19 sessions (open symbols in this and subsequent figures) and did not finish the experiment according to our end-point criterion. Gray symbols indicate the mean data of two normal observers for comparison. c, The number of hours needed to reach stable plateau performance as a function of pre-training positional threshold. d, Acuity improvement (pre/post ratio) as a function of pre-training positional threshold. The data of two children (black symbols in panels c & d) with amblyopia who underwent intensive perceptual learning is replotted here (Li et al., 2007). e, Comparison of positional acuity between the two eyes, non-amblyopic (NAE) and amblyopic (AE). The post-training performance (solid symbols) is much closer to (or even better than) the gray 1:1 reference line. The amount of plateau improvement, as indicated by the length of colored lines, is considerably larger for those observers with much elevated baseline pretraining threshold (open symbols). f, Interocular transfer (from the trained AE to the non-trained fellow NAE) vs. phase II direct training (on the previously untrained NAE).

*g*, Improvement in visual acuity and positional acuity. The amount of improvement in visual acuity is not dependent on that in positional acuity (slope =  $-0.0015\pm0.04$ , t=-0.04, p=0.97).

**NIH-PA** Author Manuscript

Li et al.

Page 14



#### Figure 2.

Neural mechanisms involved in learning position discrimination. (a inset), Ideal vs. human template. In human observers (n=2), the averaging computation mostly relied on a few stimulus samples - much higher weights were given to the selected samples than the others (red line). Following practice, the retuned template (blue line) is closer to resembling the ideal template (black line). a, The enhancement of template efficiency following perceptual learning. In four deep amblyopic observers, the post-training efficiency is much higher than the pre-training efficiency. Nevertheless, the other three mild amblyopes (ED, JS & AA) did not show any significant retuning, as shown by similar pre- and post-training efficiency along a 1:1 reference line. b, Template retuning. A red line illustrates the pre-training template and a blue line illustrates the post-training template instead. The retuned template is more similar to the ideal template in shape (black line). For comparison, the data of the non-amblyopic eye (NAE) is plotted as a gray line. c, Perceptual learning results in a decrease in random internal noise. It appears that the amount of decrement is directly proportional to the baseline noise level. Note that observer VC (open symbols) did not complete the experiment according to our plateau criterion.

Li et al.



#### Figure 3.

Retuning dynamics of behavioural receptive fields. a, Summary of changes in template efficiency and internal random noise. Template efficiency is expressed as post/pre ratio, while internal noise is expressed as pre/post ratio. b, Threshold components. The squared sum of all threshold components (ideal template, human template, random noise and systematic noise) is quite close to the squared human threshold, although our modelling tends to slightly overestimate human performance, as indicated by a black line. In agreement with our earlier study with normal observers (Li et al., 2006), systematic noise (like the systematic template error, but related to a higher order nonlinearity) is negligible in this positional task.

uity (VA) in the	Type of Amblyopia	Strab	Strab	Strab	Aniso	Aniso	Strab &	Strab & Aniso	Normal	Normal
<b>Table 1</b> Clinical Data. Seven adults with strabismic (strab) and/or anisometropic (aniso) amblyopia participated. Their visual act amblyopic eye ranged from $\approx 20/25$ (mild) to $\approx 20/125$ (severe) and their isolated letter acuity is listed in parenthesis.	Stereopsis (arcsec)	Failed	70	Failed (>400)	Failed	25	Failed	(>400) (>400)	20	20
	Snellen VA	$20/12.5^{+1}$	20/15 20/16 1/02 20/23+1 20/30-1/2	$20/25^{-2}(20/20^{+2})$ $20/20^{+2}(20/20^{+2})$	20/16 <sup>+2</sup> 20/50+2 /20/40 <sup>-2</sup> /	$20/32$ $(20/32^{+1})$ $20/16^{+2}$	$20/125^{-4}(20/125^{-1})$	$20/86^{-1}$ (20/50 <sup>-1</sup> ) 20/16 <sup>-1</sup>	20/20 <sup>+2</sup> 20/20+2	20/16 <sup>-1</sup> 20/16 <sup>-2</sup>
	Refractive Error	-1.50/-0.25×90	piano/-1.00×30 +1.00	-2.00/-2.25×180 -3.75/-2.00×5	+0.50	+2.25/-1.00×70 +2.25/-1.00×70 +0.25/-0.25×110	+4.75/-1.50×10	+2.75/-1.00×160 -1.00/-0.50×180	-6.25/-1.25×10 7.00/ 1.25×160	-7.00/-1.23×100 plano/-0.50×10 plano
	Eye	Я -	- x -	чжч	2-	чжч	2	ראנ	~	чКЧ
	Cover Test	$6 \ ^{\Delta}L ExoT$	$7 \stackrel{\Delta}{\sim} L EsoT$	$2 \frac{1}{2}$ Alt EsoT >30 $^{\Delta}$ Alt EsoT 10 $^{\Delta}$ R HyperT	NMD	DIMN	$5^{\Delta} \operatorname{RExoT}$	$5^{\Delta}$ R ExoT 4 $^{\Delta}$ L HyperT	NMD	DIMN
	Gender	Μ	ц	щ	Μ	Μ	ц	ц	M	ц
	Age (years)	18	22	29	29	39	22	23	24	22
	Observer	SF	Sť	AA	sc	ED	VC	AW	BK	DW

**NIH-PA Author Manuscript** 

**NIH-PA Author Manuscript** 

**NIH-PA** Author Manuscript